UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/697,760 | 10/697,760 10/30/2003 Minhua Lu | | YOR920030499US1 (17075) | 8778 |
| | 7590 12/05/200 FT MURPHY & PRES | EXAMINER | | |
| 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530 | | | NGO, HUYEN LE | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 2871 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/05/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------------|--|
| | 10/697,760 | LU ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Julie-Huyen L. Ngo | 2871 | |

| | Julie-Huyen L. Ngo | 28/1 | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appea | rs on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>25 November 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of | date of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | • | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extered under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shate forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | nsion and the corresponding amount ortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compli | ance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two month | s of the date of | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | |
| 3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but | ut prior to the date of filing a brief, | will not be entered be | cause | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons | | ΓE below); | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | • | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette | er form for appeal by materially red | ducing or simplifying t | ne issues for | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co | errosponding number of finally rois | acted claims | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11) | | ecteu ciaims. | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | , | mpliant Amondment (| DTOL 324) | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mpliant Amendment (| - 10L-324). | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo | | timely filed amendmer | ot canceling the | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | wabie ii subiliilled iii a separale, | unlery med amendmer | it cancelling the | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided in the control of the co | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>3,6-11 and 18</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov- showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a | ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail | s to provide a | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but see NOTE for 3A above. | does NOT place the application ir | n condition for allowan | ce because: | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (F13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| | /Julie-Huyen L. Ngo/ | | | | | |
| | Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2871 | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New issues present in newly submitted claim 19, and in amended claims 6 and 9 require further consideration and/or search..